

## CONSUMERS DIGEST

Proof of Claims-Overall Performance Test

"We were skeptical at first, but it turns out that the product does exactly what the manufacturer claims."



## Consumers Digest

"The following information appeared as editorial in Consumers Digest March/April 1982 issue. It does not represent a direct or indirect endorsement of this product." Randy Weber, Editor

In the July/August 1981 issue of Consumers Digest, We admitted a lack of knowledge about engine treatment products ("Questions & Answers"). A deluge of inquiries and testimonials followed, so we investigated further.

**We were somewhat skeptical at first, but it turns out that the product does exactly what the manufacturer claims it does. In fact, the more we looked, the more facts stacked up on the products side.**

### TEST RESULTS

**The fact that it reduces engine wear --- that it does attach to metal parts has been verified by tests conducted at the Franklin Institute, the University of Utah Engineering Experiment Station, and by the La Tourneau College in Texas. The FAA has approved the product for use in aircraft,** and another product for small engines (motorcycles , lawn mowers , and so on) has also been favorable tested.

Perhaps most dramatic, the Automotive Services Councils for Pennsylvania, Inc. performed a torture - test with excellent results. Three cars, with between 75,000 and 129,000 miles on them, were treated. Six months later, the oil was drained from each vehicle, and **the cars were driven, without oil plugs, for about a half hour. The water temperature never rose, and the engines sustained no apparent damage.**